

## SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO CONSULTATION

NUMBER OF RESPONSES	SUMMARY OF RESPONSES
<p>180 responses received objecting due to the impact on the MUST match day stall along the following lines:</p>	<p>Dear Miss Boyle</p> <p>I am writing to object to the proposed changes to Trafford Street Trading Policy as I understand they will prevent the operation of the MUST match day stall which has operated serving its members and local community for around 20 years at 18 Sir Matt Busby Way and adjacent houses.</p> <p>MUST is a non-profit organisation which provides a service to its members and uses the stall as a match day contact point for supporters as well as raising funds for the organisation through sale of merchandise. It also operates as a match day donation point for cash and food items for local food bank charities and has raised more than £50,000 through stall and online donations over the last 2 years.</p> <p>It appears that these proposals would either prohibit the stall from operating altogether or, if consent was granted, would incur such high licence fees that it is not economically viable for MUST to operate.</p> <p>This would be a great loss to the organisation and members as well as the food bank charities that MUST supports. Therefore I would like to ask you to reconsider these proposals and ensure that MUST is able to continue to operate without incurring prohibitive fees.</p>
<p>4 response received re fees and charges:</p>	<p><b>1.</b> Please enter my objection to the proposed charges for trading from private land.</p> <p>I need more time to take legal advice.</p> <p>Please also tell me why the charges proposed are the same as those for licensed street trading pitches, when no extra services would be provided by Trafford Council.</p>

2. We would like to raise certain issues re the sites and licences issued at Old Trafford.

1, We are paying for "Policing" of the No trading zone and have been since 1996 as you can see (please see attached ) the reason for a quite substantial and ongoing price increase, the increase has never been reversed. We do not feel we are getting any form of policing as other non-licenced traders seem to set up shop wherever they see fit, we even have catering units adding a side stall to sell souvenirs surely this in in contravention of their licences I Can just imagine the furore should I decide to sell food from my souvenir outlets we even have people on foot pedlars selling their wares alongside and next to our licenced sites. I'm quite aware the Pedlars law states these people MUST travel from town to town on foot and trade DOOR to DOOR. why aren't you enforcing what we are paying for?

**The Pedlars Act 1871 requires pedlars to apply to the Chief Constable of their local police force for a pedlar's certificate.** Trading as a pedlar without a certificate is an offence. The Pedlars Act 1871 defines a pedlar as a person who trades by travelling on foot between town to town or visits another persons' house.

2, The cost of the licence fees. although the fees have remained Stagnant for this season You need to know you are fast approaching a tipping point where the stalls will become no longer viable at this point you will lose the existing traders, who by the way have carried out this trade licenced by you for generations to be replaced by people with less experience less knowledge and therefore less ability to make the sites profitable, at this point will you massively reduce the cost of the sites or will you suffer a constant turnover of new traders with high expectations only to find they can't fund the fees?

3, We are aware further to the outcome of the Hemmings V Westminster case other councils in the North west are offering the same facilities as yourselves with much less costs involved to the trader some as little as a few hundred pounds we would like to know how you justify the Massive price differential between this and the Thousands of pound you currently charge? <https://www.local.gov.uk/briefing-licensing-fees-hemming-westminster-and-aylesbury-vale>

4, Since you have changed from 10 monthly payments to only 3 charges you have placed an unnecessary burden on your customers our season starts in August your demands for payments in September and November is a sizable chunk of our turnover and leaves us very little to run our business, It was much easier with 10 monthly payments and we would prefer a return to this more workable system.

	<p>5, You have again charged a premium rate for the stalls located at the tram stop in my company's case pitch 5.. I have previously informed you of the issues we have attempting to make pitch 5 viable which include lack of footfall and policing of customers away from the sites by tram stewards after the match. We have retained this licence because we are hoping for a relocation these sites are far from premium and we would like to open discussions re relocation at your earliest convenience.</p>
	<p><b>3.</b> With reference to Trafford council street trading policy and fees consultation, I trade from private land from a stall outside [REDACTED] where my family have traded for some years. According to the land owner, his property deeds entitle him to trade from anywhere in the boundaries of his allotted space. and he insists he cannot be charged to trade on his own land. On the subject of the proposed fees, I am at a loss to understand the amounts proposed when a similar consent at Anfield ( Liverpool and Goodison park ( Everton ) two comparable grounds in the Premier league are priced between £350/£400 a season, Another problem with these fees are the pedlars, who operate seemingly where and when they want, for a £14 license ! We only actually trade between 25/30 games a season and work to a tight budget with small profits, I hope you can give some consideration to these points going forward with future decisions,</p>

	<p><b>4.</b> We currently trade at Manchester united from Evens Halshaw land on Sir Matt Busby way. We recieved a letter from them stating that you now require fees to trade from private land.</p> <p>We appose this in the strongest way and will be fighting with all the other traders from the same place of trading.</p> <p>You are going to run our lives into the ground and if so we will be talking this all the way to the highest court. You have no understanding about the lives you are going to ruin with these draconian tactics.</p> <p>Manchester united are a multi billion pound money machine yet you choose to pick on the vuneravble and drive us out of buisness.</p> <p>Let me tell you this, we are going to fight and whist this is going from one court to the other its going to take a long long time.</p> <p>You will be recieving a letter from me in the post tracked. Also one more thing whilst your driving us to the floor we all hope you will be asking the street sellers of merchandise the ones that sell on foot for fees as they have no paying public passing.</p> <p>If you implement fees for static traders then you must implement fees for all.</p>
--	---

<p>1 response from Keystone Solicitors</p>	<p>Attached as Appendix 3</p>
--	-------------------------------